Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409

Reduction of Hexachloroiridate(IV) Ion by Sulfur(IV) in Acidic Aqueous Solution^{1a,b}

ELDON L. STAPP^{1c} and DAVID W. CARLYLE*

Received August 6, *1973*

A stoichiometric and kinetic study of the reduction of $IrCl₆²$ by HSO₁⁻ has been done. The reactions were observed in acetate buffer solutions at 25°. The products are $lrCl_6^{3-}$, SO_4^{2-} , and $S_2O_6^{2-}$. The observed rate law is -d ln [IrC]₆²⁻] /dt = 0.024[HS03-] /[H+]. **A** mechanism consistent with the data is proposed. The proposal is a one-electron transfer between $1rCl_A^{2-}$ and SO₃²⁻, followed by rapid reaction of intermediate S(V) with $1rCl_A^{2-}$ to give SO₄²⁻ or by competing rapid dimerization of $S(V)$ to give $S_2O_6^2$.

Introduction

Sulfur(1V) is known to reduce metal ions by a variety of mechanisms and with variable stoichiometry.² Recent representative reports have suggested that electron transfer may occur within inner-sphere sulfito complexes (trans-Co- $(\text{en})_2$ SO₃OH₂⁺³ and CrO₂(SO₃)₂²⁻⁴) or may occur by outersphere mechanisms (IrCl $_6^{4-}$, Fe(1,10-phen) $_3^{3+}$, and many others⁷). Still another report⁸ has described a mechanism involving electron transfer in the inner-sphere bridged ion $Fe(CN)_5$ CNSO₃⁵⁻; electron transfer is believed to occur simultaneously to the iron in the bridged species and to the iron in a Fe $(CN)_6$ ³⁻ ion.⁸

We have been interested in the role of complexes formed between sulfur(IV) and oxidant metal ion;^{6,9,10} one of the objectives of this study was to characterize a system in which the complexation appeared unlikely. The second objective was to test the possible reactivity of $S_2O_5^{2-}$. The question of the reactivity of $S_2O_5^2$ was raised in a recent study⁶ of the reduction of Fe(1,10-phen)₃³⁺ (or just FeL₃³⁺) by sulfur-(IV). **A** rate law term second order in **HS03-** was observed in the FeL_3^{3+} study; it was suggested⁶ that the term may arise from reduction of Fe(III) by $S_2O_5^2$ or by reduction of a $Fe^{III}-S^{IV}$ complex by S(IV).

suitable oxidant because it appeared unlikely to engage in appreciable inner- or outer-sphere complexation with sulfur- (IV) . Thus, we anticipated that oxidation of sulfur (IV) by $IrCl₆²⁻$ would occur by an outer-sphere mechanism and that the presence or absence of a rate law term second order in HSO_3^- would be evidence for or against the reactivity of $S_2O_5^2$. The net reactions that we have investigated are described by eq l and 2. The anionic, substitution-inert¹¹ IrCl₆²⁻ ion was chosen as a

$$
2IrCl62- + HSO3- + H2O = 2IrCl63- + SO42- + 3H+
$$
 (1)

(1) Supported by the Robert **A.** Welch Foundation. (b) Based on the Masters thesis of E. L. S., Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Tex. (c) Robert A. Welch Fellow, 1972-1973.
(2) H. Russell, Jr., and D. M. Yost, "Systematic Inorganic
Chemistry," Prentice-Hall, New York, N. Y., 1944, pp (c) Robert **A.** Welch Fellow, **1972-1973.**

(3) R. S. Murray and D. R. Stranks, *Inorg. Chem.,* **9, 1472 (1970).**

- **(4)** G. P. Haight, **Jr.,** E. Perchonock, F. Emmenegger, and G. Gordon, *J. Amer. Chem.* **SOC., 87, 3835 (1965).**
- **(5) A.** Brown and W. C. **E.** Higginson, *Chem. Commun.,* **725 (1967).**
- **(6)** D. W. Carlyle, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.,* **94,4525 (1972).**
- **(7)** J. Veprek-Siska, D. M. Wagnerova, and K. Eckschlager,
- (8) J. M. Lancaster and R. S. Murray, *J. Chem. SOC. A,* **2755** *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.,* **31, 1248 (1966). (1971).**
- **(9)** D. W. Carlyle and 0. F. Zeck, Jr., *Inorg. Chem.,* **12,2978 (1973).**
- **(10)** *0.* F. Zeck, Jr., and D. **W.** Carlyle, *Inorg. Chem.,* **13,**
- **(1 1) C.** S. Garner and **I. A.** Poulsen, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.,* **84, 34 (1974). 2032 (1962).**

$$
2IrCl62- + 2HSO3- = 2IrCl63- + S2O62- + 2H+
$$
 (2)

Experimental Section

Reagents. Reagent grade Na₂IrCl₆ was used without further purification. A visible spectrum of an aqueous solution of IrCl₆²⁻ had a maximum at 488 nm with a molar absorbancy coefficient of 4050, in agreement with the results of Poulsen and Garner.¹¹ A fresh IrCl₆² solution was used for each experiment, although acidic solutions were spectrophotometrically shown to be stable for at least **7** days. Sodium hexachloroiridate(III) was made by reduction of Na_2IrCl_6 as described earlier.¹¹ The dried Na₃IrCl₆.2H₂O was stored in a desiccator. Just before each use, the solid salt was dissolved and analyzed spectrophotometrically.¹¹ Since dissolved oxygen interferes with the IrCl₆³⁻ spectrum,¹¹ the spectrophotometer cell was purged with nitrogen bcfore the analysis. The concentration of iridium(II1) was calculated from Beer's law using the literature value¹² of the molar absorptivity from Beer's law using the literature value¹² of the molar absorptivity
at 418 nm. Because IrCl_e³⁻ slowly hydrolyzes in water, the unused at 418 nm. Because IrCl_e ³⁻ slowly hydrolyzes in water, the unused solutions were frozen at -10° and concentrations were checked before new experiments were done.

The preparations and analyses have been described previously for solutions of sodium chloride,¹⁰ copper(II) chloride,¹⁰ copper(1) chloride,¹⁰ iron(II) perchlorate,¹³ and aqueous SO_2 ,^{6,13} Sodium acetate was recrystallized from ethanol; aqueous solutions of sodium acetate were analyzed by titration of the acid displaced when aliquots were passed through Dowex 50W-X8 cation-exchange resin in the **H+** form. Hydrochloric acid solutions were prepared by dilution of concentrated reagent grade acid; they were analyzed by titration with sodium hydroxide.

treated as previously described.⁶ Doubly distilled water was used in all solutions. Nitrogen gas was

in nitrogen-purged spectrophotometer cells in a recording spectrophotometer. The procedures were as described earlier,⁶ with monitoring wavelengths ranging from 488 to 525 nm. Most of the reactions were observed in a nitrogen-purged stopped-flow apparatus by methods that have also been described.^{6,13} The monitoring wavelength for the stopped-flow experiments was 488 nm. Rate **Measurements.** The slower reactions were allowed to occur

culated from the formal concentrations of aqueous sulfur dioxide, hydrochloric acid, and sodium acetate. The calculations were based on the values $Q_a = [C_2H_3O_2^-][H^+]/[C_2H_4O_2] = 3.09 \times 10^{-5} M^{14}$ and $Q_{\rm b} = {\rm [HSO_3^-][H^+]} / {\rm [SO_2]} = 0.043 \ m^{15}$ The formal concentration of sodium acetate was fixed at $0.03 M$ in most of the experiments. The ionic strength of each solution was adjusted to $0.200 \, \text{M}$ with sodium chloride, and each reaction was thermostated at 25.0". The hydrogen ion concentration of each reaction mixture was cal-

Some reaction mixtures initially containing CuC1, or IrC1, **3-** were prepared for stopped-flow measurements. Each of these reagents was

added to the IrCl_s²⁻ solution for mixing with the HSO₃⁻ solution.
Stoichiometry. Measurements of the reacting IrCl_s²⁻ :S(IV) ratios were made at 25.0° and 0.2 *M* ionic strength in the presence of 0.06 *F* acetate and in the absence of oxygen. The hydrogen ion concentrations were calculated as described above.

- **(12)** J. C. Chang and C. S. Garner, *Inorg. Chem.,* **4,209 (1965).**
- **(13)** D. W. Carlyle, *Inorg. Chem.,* **10, 761 (1971).**
- **(14)** This is the Qa value in **0.200** *M* NaC1 obtained by interpola-tion of data in H. S. Harned and F. C. Hickey, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.,* **59, 1284 (1937).**
- *Chem. Scand.,* **12, 878 (1958).** This Q_b value was measured in 1.00 *m* perchlorate solution, but any error in the value of Q_b has little effect on the calculated [H⁺] since SO₂ was not a major species in our reaction mixtures. **(15)** M. Frydman, G. Nilsson, T. Rengemo, and L. Sillen, *Acta*

Reaction mixtures with excess IrCl_6^2 were prepared with known initial concentrations of both reactants. The initial concentrations of IrCl₆²⁻ were obtained from spectrophotometric titrations with Fe²⁺. These titrations were conducted in oxygen-free 10-cm spectrophotometer cells by making successive **small** additions of standardized iron(I1) solutions. After completion of each reaction, the remaining ${IrrCl₆}$ concentration was determined by spectrophotometric titration and $\Delta[\text{IrCl}_6^{2-}]/\Delta[\text{S}(\text{IV})]$ was calculated. Reaction mixtures with excess sulfur(1V) were also prepared with known initial concentrations of both reactants. After completion of each reaction, an aliquot was withdrawn for sulfur(IV) analysis and $\Delta [\text{IrCl}_6^2^-]/\Delta [\text{S(IV)}]$ was calculated.

Results

Stoichiometry. The results of our stoichiometric measurements, together with those obtained by Higginson and Brown,¹⁶ are shown in Table I. The values listed in the table do not appear to show systematic variations as $[H⁺]$ is varied or as excess reagent is varied. Our values for $\Delta [\text{IrCl}_6^2^-]/$ Δ [S(IV)] are consistently lower than those reported earlier,¹⁶ and our estimate 1.6, obtained by comparing rate constants as described below, is between the earlier average of 1.84 and our average of 1.39 (with average deviation 0.10). Although the various measurements are not in quantitative agreement, they do provide qualitative evidence that net reactions 1 and 2 occur at comparable rates.

Rate Measurements. A series of experiments was done with excess sulfur(IV) and with $[\text{IrCl}_6^{-2-}]_0 = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} M$. In each of these experiments the disappearance of $\text{IrCl}_6{}^{2-}$ obeyed the first-order rate law indicated by eq I. The results

$$
-d \ln \left[\left[\text{IrCl}_6{}^{2-} \right] / dt = k' \right] \tag{I}
$$

of the individual *k'* measurements are shown in Table 11. The measured *k'* values listed in the table indicate that *k'* has a positive dependence on $[HSO_3^-]$ and an inverse dependence on [H⁺]. A least-squares fit¹⁷ of the data at 0.71×10^{-5} \leq $[H^+] \le 75 \times 10^{-5}$ *M* and $0.50 \times 10^{-3} \le [HSO_3^-] \le 6.4 \times 10^{-4}$ 10^{-5} *M* to eq II gave a satisfactory result, with $k = 0.0241 \pm 1.001$

$$
k' = k[\text{HSO}_3^-]/[\text{H}^+]
$$
 (II)

0.0008 \sec^{-1} , where 0.0008 is the calculated¹⁷ standard deviation. This value of *k* was used with eq II to obtain the k'_{calod} values shown in Table 11. We conclude that eq I and I1 describe the rates under the concentration conditions listed above. However, one experiment at $0.02 M H^+$, not listed in Table **11,** gave a rate constant greater than 6 times that calculated by eq **11.** In addition, each of the four experiments (two of them done in duplicate) listed in the table at $[HSO_3^-] \ge$ 11×10^{-3} *M* gave k_{obsd} greater than *k'* calculated by eq II.

provide evidence for a pathway second order in $[HSO_3^-]$. The percentage deviation is not greater at $[HSO_3^-] = 24 \times$ 10^{-3} *M* than at $[HSO_3^-] = 11 \times 10^{-3}$ *M*. An experimental error could not be found to account for the deviations. The duplications of the experiments at $[HSO_3^{\dagger}] = 11 \times 10^{-3}$ and 24×10^{-3} *M* were done several months later than the original experiments and gave results in good agreement with the originals. An experiment at $[HSO_3^-] = 6.00 \times 10^{-3} M$ was done along with the duplicates described above and gave a result in good agreement with eq II. Experiments at $[HSO_3^-] > 24 \times$ 10^{-3} *M* were not done. Experiments at high $[HSO₃^-]$ and low $[H^+]$ were too fast to yield precise k'_{obsd} values, and $[H^+]$ It does not appear that the high k_{obsd} values at high [HSO₃]

a Three experiments. *b* Reported in ref 16. *c* Estimated from kinetic measurements, as described below.

would not be precisely known in experiments at high [H'] or $high ([HSO₃^-] + [H⁺]).$

The values of k'_{obsd} were not sensitive to the variations in conditions that we tested, as shown by Table 11. The 10th and 20th experiments listed in the table were done at ionic strength 0.10, the 17th and 34th experiments were done at $[NaC₂H₃O₂]$ \neq 0.03 *F*, and the 42nd experiment was done in the presence of a small concentration of dissolved oxygen, **all** without dramatic effect on k'_{obsd} , although dissolved oxygen did appear to slow the reaction by a factor of about 2.

Sixteen additional experiments, not listed in Table **11,** were done to look for possible retardation by IrCl_6^{3-} . Appreciable retardation was not found in these experiments at $[\overline{\text{IrCl}_6}^2]_0$ = 8.9×10^{-5} *M*, $[H^+] = 7.3 \times 10^{-5}$ or 11.8×10^{-5} *M*, $[NaC_2H_3O_2] = 0.03$ *F*, $[HSO_3^-] = 0.0105$ or 0.024 *M*, and $5 \times 10^{-5} \leq [IrCl_6^{3-}]_0 \leq 8 \times 10^{-3}$ *M*.

Some experiments were done to measure the rate of reactions 1 and 2 in solutions containing excess $IrCl₆²⁻$. The results obtained are less precise than those obtained with excess HSO_3 ⁻ because only small changes in large initial absorbances were observed (typically about 10% of 2). The results of these pseudo-first-order reactions are listed in Table III; the k''_{obsd} values are the observed rate constants and the *k'*_{calcd} values are calculated from eq II.

If eq II is valid in excess $\mathrm{IrCl_{6}}^{2-}$, then the ratio k'/k'' is identical with the $\Delta [\text{IrCl}_6^2] / \Delta [\text{S(IV)}]$ ratio. The parameter *k'* is defined by eq **I**, but *k''* is defined as $-d \ln \left[S(IV) \right] / dt = k''$. Since d ln $\left[Tr(IV)\right]$ /d ln $\left[S(IV)\right]$ is the stoichiometric ratio, it can be seen that the equivalent quotient k'/k'' is also the stoichiometric ratio. The average value 1.6 ± 0.5 appears reasonable and appears consistent with the assumption that eq I and 11 are valid under the conditions listed in Table 111.

Copper(I1) Effects. A few attempts were made to measure copper(I1) catalysis of reactions 1 and 2. These attempts were not successful, because catalysis was slight and also because of apparent competition from the direct reaction between copper(II) and sulfur(IV). At $[H^+] = 1.2 \times 10^{-4} M$, $[HSO₃⁻] = 6.0 \times 10^{-3} M, [IrCl₆²⁻]₀ = 4 \times 10^{-4} M, and [Cu (H)_{0} = (3.5-21) \times 10^{-3} M$, the disappearance of IrCl₆²⁻ occurred by a process less than first order in IrCl₆²⁻; the order became closer to zero as $\lceil Cu(II) \rceil_0$ was increased. These observations are consistent with reduction of Cu(I1) and Ir- (IV) at similar rates, followed by regeneration of copper(I1) in a fast $Ir(IV)-Cu(I)$ reaction. We did not test these ideas, except to verify that Cu(I)¹⁸ is oxidized rapidly by IrCl₆²⁻

⁽¹⁶⁾ A. Brown and W. C. E. Higginson, *J. Chem.* **SOC.,** *Dalton Trans.,* 166 (1972). (17) The calculation **was** done by computer, using a program

based on Report LASL-2367 + Addenda, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, N. M., 1959.

⁽¹⁸⁾ The principal Cu(I) species in these aqueous chloride solutions are CuCl₂⁻ and CuCl₃²: P. J. Durrant and B. Durrant, "Introduction *to* Advanced Inorganic Chemistry," Wiley, New **York,** N. **Y.,** 1970,p 1112.

Table II. Observed and Calculated Rate Constants for the Iridium(IV)-Sulfur(IV) Reaction at 1.3 \times 10⁻⁴ M IrCl₆²⁻, 25 .O", and 0.200 *M* Ionic Strengthh

10^{5} [H ⁺], M	10^{3} [HSO ₃ ⁻], <i>M</i> k' _{Obsd} , sec ⁻¹		k' calcd, sec ⁻¹	10^{5} [H ⁺], <i>M</i>	10^3 [HSO ₃ ⁻], <i>M</i>	k' _{obsd} , sec ⁻¹	k' calcd, sec ⁻¹	
0.706	4.50	17.1	15.4	7.30	6.00	2.3	2.0	
0.773	6.00	17.5	18.7	8.40	6.00	2.0	1.7	
0.955	7.10	19.7	17.9	8.99	2.00	0.57	0.54	
1.27	6.00	12.4	11.4	10.0	11.0	3.9e	2.7c	
1.53	6.00	11.2	9.5	10.0	1.00	0.22	0.24	
1.57	3.00 ₁	5.1	4.6	10.4	4.00	0.96 ^a	0.93	
1.64	6.00	10.1 ^a	8.8	11.8	0.50	0.11	0.10	
1.64	6.40	9.4	9.4	11.8	6.00	1.5 ^a	1.2	
1.80	4.50	6.6	6.0	11.9	1.00	0.19	0.20	
2.20	0.50	0.65 ^b	0.55c	11.9	2.00	0.43	0.41	
2.47	1.25	1.5	1.2	11.9	6.00	1.55a	1.2	
3.04	4.50	3.6	3.6	11.9	24.0	7.0a.e	4.9c	
3.09	1.00	0.90	0.78	12.2	6.00	1.1 ^f	1.2c	
3.11	0.50	0.40	0.39	12.6	3.00	0.60	0.57	
3.53	2.00	1.3	1.4	13.3	11.0	3.1a.e	2.0 ^c	
3.54	1.00	0.67	0.68	14.6	1.00	0.15	0.16	
4.60	6.00	3.5 ^d	3.1	14.6	2.00	0.38	0.33	
4.88	6.00	3.2	3.0	30.6	5.96	0.48	0.47	
6.00	4.50	1.9	1.8					
6.30	9.80	2.6 ^b	3.7c	74.7	0.99	0.035	0.032	
7.20	21.1	9.6e	7.1c	74.7	0.99	0.017 g	0.032c	

a Average of two experiments. *b* Ionic strength 0.1. *c* Calculated from eq II. *d* [NaC₂H₃O₂] = 0.015 *F. e* Not included in the leastsquares calculation. \hat{f} [NaC₂H₃O₂] = 0.06 *F. B* Atmospheric oxygen not removed from solution. *h* Experiments designated by notes *b*, *d*, *f*, and g were not included in the least-squares calculation.

under the conditions of our experiments. Assuming the $IrCl₆²-Cu(I)$ reaction is first order in each reactant, we determined a lower limit of 1×10^6 M^{-1} sec⁻¹ at $[H^+] = 1.2 \times$ $10^{-4} M$.

Search for Radical Intermediates. Acrylic acid was polymerized in the presence of reacting $Ir(IV)-S(IV)$ systems but was not polymerized in the presence of either of the reactants alone. **A** brief attempt to observe the esr spectrum of **SO3-* in reacting Ir(1V)-S(1V) systems was unsuccessful. The lack of success is probably not meaningful, because the high reactivity of sulfur(V) radicals (see ref 9 and 10 and references therein) is expected to result in low radical concentration.

Discussion

The stoichiometry of the $Ir(IV)$ -S (IV) reaction has already been discussed.16 Earlier work has revealed a positive correlation between dithionate production and the substitution lability of the oxidant.^{5,7} But Brown and Higginson have observed that substitution-inert $IrCl₆²⁻$ produces dithionate in conflict with the generalization, have pointed to a rich variety of possible mechanisms for oxidation of sulfur(IV), and have suggested that exceptions to the generalization should not be surprising.¹⁶

The forms of eq I and II are consistent with a rate-determining one-electron transfer between $\text{IrCl}_6{}^{2-}$ and $\text{SO}_3{}^{2-}$, 19 followed by fast subsequent steps. If the rate-determining step is indeed reaction between $IrCl_6^2$ and SO_3^2 , then the second-order rate constant for this step can be estimated as $k'/Q_c = (2.41 \times 10^{-2})/(4.6 \times 10^{-7}) = 2 \times 10^4 M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1},$ where $Q_c = [H^+] [\text{SO}_3^2] / [\text{HSO}_3^-]$;²⁰ this value can be compared with $4.2/(4.6 \times 10^{-7}) = 9 \times 10^{6} M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ for the analogous rate term in the $\text{FeL}_3{}^{3+}-\text{HSO}_3{}^{-}$ reaction.⁶

The empirical rate law does not provide evidence about the

structure of the transition state or about steps occurring after the rate-determining step. Thus, even though $IrCl₆²-$ and *SO3'-* are each anions, the rate law is not inconsistent with complexation before electron transfer, perhaps in a chloridebridged transition state somewhat analogous to $Fe(CN)_5$ - $CNSO₃⁵⁻⁸$ The rate law does not suggest the products of the rate-determining step; the products may be $\text{IrCl}_6{}^{3-}$ and $-SO_3$ or may be a IrS^{VIII} complex²¹ as shown in eq 3 and 4,

$$
\text{IrCl}_6{}^{2-} + \text{SO}_3{}^{2-} \rightarrow \text{IrCl}_6{}^{3-} + \cdot \text{SO}_3{}^-\tag{3}
$$

$$
\mathrm{IrCl}_6{}^{2-} + \mathrm{SO}_3{}^{2-} \to \mathrm{IrS}^{\mathrm{VIII}} \tag{4}
$$

respectively. The evidence provided by the rate law, the stoichiometry, the substitution inertness of IrCl_6^2 , and the induced polymerization of acrylic acid is all most simply explained, however, by an outer-sphere rate-determining step, with the sulfur(V) intermediate being oxidized further by IrCl₆²⁻ or being dimerized to $S_2O_6^{2-}$ in competing reactions **5** and 6, respectively. Brown and Higginson proposed this

$$
\text{IrCl}_6{}^{2-} + \cdot \text{SO}_3{}^{-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \to \text{IrCl}_6{}^{3-} + \text{SO}_4{}^{2-} + 2\text{H}^+ \tag{5}
$$

$$
SO_3^- + SO_3^- \to S_2O_6^{2-}
$$
 (6)

mechanism earlier,¹⁶ based on stoichiometric data alone.

The lack of rate dependence on $IrCl₆³⁻$ demonstrates that the rate-determining step is not reversible or does not produce IrCl₆³⁻ (possibly IrS^{VIII} instead). The reduced rate of

⁽¹⁹⁾ In a recent study of the oxidation of ascorbic acid by IrCl₆²⁻, M. C. Agrawal, K. C. Gupta, and *S. P. Mushran, Indian J.* chem., **10, 042** (1972), and **accounted** for an inverse accounted for a dominant species $H_2 \text{ICC}_1$. However, we have made pH measurements to confirm that IrCl₆^{F -} has negligible base strength and is not protonated at pH 4.15. Acid dissociation of a species other than protonated IrCl_s² (probably HSO₃) is responsi-
ble for the inverse acid dependence in eq II.

⁽²⁰⁾ The Q_c value was measured¹⁵ in 1.00 *m* perchlorate and so probably introduces some error into the calculation.

⁽² 1) It has been shown that radicals can be coordinated to metal ions; a representative reference is A. Tkac, K. Vesely, and L. Omelka, J. *Phys. Chem.*, 75, 2575 (1971). Speculation about SO_3 ⁻ complexation with metal ions has been done in ref 5-7.

consumption of $IrCl₆²⁻$ in the presence of oxygen probably occurs because sulfur(V) intermediates are scavenged by oxygen instead of by $IrCl_6^2$. The absence of strong catalysis by copper(I1) suggests that sulfur(V) intermediates react very rapidly with $IrCl₆²$, however. The lack of rate dependence on buffer concentration confirms that the [H'] dependence shown in eq I1 should not be replaced by acetate or acetic acid dependences.

The fact that the data do not show much evidence that a term second order in HSO₃⁻ should be added to eq II shows that reduction by $S_2O_5^2$ is not an important reaction under the conditions of this study. We present this fact as some evidence that the $[HSO_3^{\dagger}]^2$ term in the reduction of the very similar FeL₃³⁺ ion⁶ does not arise from a reactive $S_2O_5^{2-}$ species. Even though the evidence is not conclusive, we think it is significant because of the many similarities between FeL_3^{3+} and IrCl_6^{2-} ; both oxidants are substitution-inert low-spin d^5 ions; they have one-electron reduction potentials of 1 **.0622** and l **.0223** V, respectively. The different charges on the two ions probably at least partially account for the different behavior with respect to second-order bisulfate dependences.

We conclude that net reaction 1 probably occurs by a sequence of two outer-sphere electron transfers and that net reaction 2 occurs by outer-sphere electron transfer followed by combination of $S(V)$ radicals.

Registry No. IrCl₆²⁻, 16918-91-5; HSO₃⁻, 15181-46-1.

(22) G. F. Smith and F. P. Richter, *Ind. Eng. Chem.,* **Anal.** *Ed.,* **16,580 (1944).**

(23) F. P. Dwyer, H. **A.** McKenzie, and R. S. Nyholm, *1. Proc. Roy. Sac. N.* **S.** *W.,* **81, 216 (1947).**

> Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

Hydrolysis of Sulfuryl Fluoride

GEORGE H. CADY * and SUDHINDRA MISRA

Received August *10, 1973*

Sulfuryl fluoride dissolves rapidly in water and may be quickly removed from solution by dynamic vacuum. The solubility of the gas has been measured at 0.0 and 23.3°. Hydrolysis of sulfuryl fluoride is slow in water but rapid in basic solutions,
the net reaction being $SO_2F_2 + 2OH^- \rightarrow SO_3F^- + F^- + H_2O$. The rate law may be written as $-d[SO_2F_2]/dt$ ${k_w + k[OH^-]}$. At pH values of 7.5 or greater, ${k_w}$ is negligible when compared to $k[OH^-]$. Over the temperature range $0-25^\circ$, $k = 1.67 \times 10^{12}e^{-13,100/RT}$, when time is in seconds and concentrations are in moles per liter. The reaction is considered to be a nucleophilic displacement of fluorine in which the controlling process is

$$
SO_2F_2 + OH^- \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} O & F \\ HO & S \\ O & F \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow HOSO_2F + F^-
$$

Sulfuryl fluoride reacts readily in aqueous solution with the nucleophiles NH_3 , $C_6H_3O^-$, and CN⁻.

Previous studies of the hydrolysis of sulfuryl fluoride¹⁻³ have shown that the reaction with water is much slower than with a basic solution and that the rate increases as the concentration of KOH is increased. The reaction with alcoholic KOH has been reported' to be

$$
SO_2F_2 + 2KOH \rightarrow KSO_3F + KF + H_2O
$$
 (1)

and with water containing hydroxide ion⁴ to be

$$
SO_2F_2 + 2OH^- \rightarrow SO_3F^- + F^- + H_2O
$$
 (2)

If the resulting solution is allowed to stand, the fluorosulfate ion hydrolyzes to give sulfate and fluoride ions. However, studies by Ryss, Gribanova, and Drabkina^{5,6} and by Jones and Lockhart⁷ have shown that this reaction is very slow within the conditions of temperature and pH used in the

(6) I. G. Ryss and **A.** K. Drabkina, *Kinet.* **Katal.,** *7,* **319 (1966); (1955);** *Chem.* **Abstr., 50, 9121h (1956).**

(7) M. M. Jones and W. L. Lockhart, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 30, Chem.* **Abstr., 65, 3082b (1966). 1237 (1968).**

present research. Substantially none of the SO_3F^- ion reacted while the experiments now to be described were under way.

Results

idly one can measure the solubility of sulfuryl fluoride in water before a substantial fraction of the solute has hydrolyzed. By use of experimental measurements given below and by assuming Henry's law to be obeyed, it can be calculated that 100 ml of water dissolves 52.9 cm³ (STP) of SO_2 - F_2 at 0.0° or 21.5 cm³ (STP) of SO_2F_2 at 23.3°. Trautz and Ehrmann³ have reported the solubility at 16.5° to be 0.4-0.5 cm³ of SO_2F_2 in 10 ml of water and Moissan and Lebeau¹ have reported 10 cm^3 of gas per 100 ml of water at 9". These values are too low. Solubility of Sulfuryl Fluoride **in** Water. By working rap-

When an aqueous solution of sulfuryl fluoride is held under the dynamic vacuum of a water aspirator, the dissolved gas is rapidly removed from solution. When a 10-ml sample of solution is under vacuum and subjected to vigorous shaking, the removal of sulfuryl fluoride is substantially complete within 20 sec.

Hydrolysis of Sulfuryl Fluoride. Upon standing at *25',* a solution of sulfuryl fluoride in water becomes increasingly acidic and the concentration of fluoride ion increases, because of the slow reaction

⁽¹⁾ H. Moissan and P. Lebeau, C. *R.* **Acad.** *Sci.,* **132, 374 (1901). (2)** W. Traube, **J.** Hoerenz, and **F.** Wunderlich, *Bey. Deut. Chem.*

Ges., 52, 1272 (1919).

(3) M. Trautz and K. Ehrmann, *J. Prakt. Chem., 142, 79 (1935).* **(4)** D. M. Yost and H. Russell, **Jr.,** "Systematic Inorganic Chem-

⁽⁵⁾ I. G. Ryss and T. **A.** Gribanova, *Zh. Fiz. Khim.,* **29, 1822** istry," Prentice-Hall, New York, N. Y., **1946, p 308.**